



Silver saviours for the high street

How new retirement properties create more local economic value
and more local jobs than any other type of residential housing

A report by  STRATEGY for

Homes for Later Living

February 2021



Contents

Foreword	02
Executive summary	03
Introduction	05
Summary of benefits	09
Chapter 1: Boosting local economies through jobs and growth	10
Chapter 2: Reviving the high street	15
Chapter 3: Greening communities	17
Conclusion and recommendations	20
Annex	22
Endnotes	25

Disclaimer & Legal

This report has been produced by WPI Economics and Homes for Later Living. The views expressed in the report are based on independent research and represent solely the views of the authors. They are provided for informative purposes only.

Whilst we undertake every effort to ensure that the information within this document is accurate and up to date, neither WPI Economics nor Homes for Later Living accept any liability for direct, implied, statutory, and/or consequential loss arising from the use of this document or its contents.



About Homes for Later Living

Homes for Later Living has been set up to promote greater choice, availability and quality of housing specifically for older people. It comprises Churchill Retirement Living, McCarthy Stone and Lifestory Group (made up of Pegasus and Renaissance) and operates alongside the Retirement Home Builders Group within the Home Builders Federation (HBF).

Homes for Later Living exists to promote all types of housing which are specifically designed, built or adapted for people over the age of 60. These properties are operated in the long-term interest of residents, so that as people grow older and their needs change, they can choose to live in a property that works for them.

Homes for Later Living or retirement properties mean specially designed housing suitable for older people who want to maintain the independence and privacy that comes with having a home of their own, but may want access to varying degrees of support and care, plus an in-built social community.

Typically built for people who no longer want or need a family-sized house, and often taking the form of apartments or bungalows, our developments are designed to help people remain independent, safe, secure and sociable for as long as possible.

One of the key differences between new-build housing for older people and new-build mainstream housing is the provision of extensive communal areas where neighbours can socialise, host visitors and be part of a friendly, like-minded community.

Another key difference is the presence of an on-site manager or team, someone whose role is to look out for people's welfare, be a point of call if help is needed, make sure the communal areas are well-maintained and to be a reassuring, friendly presence.



About the author

Chris Walker

Chris Walker is an associate at WPI Strategy and a former government economist, who spent over 12 years as a civil servant in the Treasury, Department for Work and Pensions, and the Department for Communities and Local Government where he was a senior economic adviser. He now works as a research and economic consultant on housing, planning and local economic growth.

Foreword

As we begin to emerge from the shadow of Covid-19, breathing new life into our high streets will be critical to the future economic prospects and the social fabric of local villages, towns and cities right across the UK.

The pandemic has had a devastating impact on the high street, with local businesses unable to open at various points in time. Yet faced with such unimaginable challenges, Britain’s entrepreneurs have innovated like never before, moving to different ways of offering their services and products to customers.

There is little doubt that as we return to some semblance of normality this year, that the look and feel of our town centres will need to continue to evolve. Part of this evolution will involve striking the right balance between commercial and residential properties on or near the high street.

In 2018, retail expert Bill Grimsey recommended that high streets and town centres “need to be repopulated and re-fashioned as community hubs, including housing, health and leisure, entertainment, education, arts, business/office space and some shops”. Three years later, that argument looks stronger than ever. Getting that mix right will be critical to local economic recovery efforts and fostering a renewed sense of community.

This report seeks to contribute to the debate over the look and feel of our high streets and town centres. Our findings focus specifically on the role that specialist retirement housing can play in driving local economic growth.

The analysis is stark. Specialist retirement properties create more local economic value and more local jobs than any other type of residential housing. People living in these properties are happier, healthier and more likely to spend money locally than people of the same age living elsewhere. And just as importantly, polling commissioned as part of this report indicates that the vast majority of local people would approve of retirement housing being built near them.

Specialist retirement housing does not have to come at the expense of housing for families and young professionals. Rather our previous research has shown that building more specialist retirement housing can stimulate transactions throughout the entire housing market, for families looking to move into bigger homes and for first time buyers looking to take their first step on the property ladder.

We know there are 3 million people across the UK over the age of 65 who would like to move home but don't feel they can. Quite simply we should be building tens of thousands of good quality specialist retirement properties to help meet this demand.

With retirement developments of this sort located in or near to our struggling high streets, the benefits of building these properties are clear. Doing so will help stimulate local economic activity and help communities recover as quickly as possible from the devastating effects of the pandemic.

Executive summary

Local authorities across the UK face pressing challenges in the coming years. Most urgently, councils need to rescue their finances from the ravages of the pandemic which has eroded high streets and cost many jobs. Alongside this, local authorities must manage the escalating costs of social care at the same time as keeping an ageing society healthy, happy and safe, and they need to find the best way of meeting local housing targets.

With the Prime Minister having promised to 'build back better', retirement properties can help local authorities to meet such challenges by creating the conditions for local and sustainable economic growth in communities across the country, without eroding the green belt or urban green spaces.


Our previous reports have set out firstly the extent to which retirement housing creates fiscal savings through reduced health and social care costs, and secondly the impact on the wider housing market of releasing second-hand properties back onto the market.

Here, in our third report, we look at the final challenge – that of restoring and creating jobs, supporting shops and services in the local economy, and making new housing environmentally sustainable. Crucially, we show how retirement properties create more local economic value and more local jobs than any other type of residential development.

“Retirement properties create more local economic value and more local jobs than any other type of residential development.”

The benefits for local authorities can be seen both in terms of jobs created and in terms of Gross Value Added (GVA) - in other words the sector-specific economic value it adds. Further benefits are derived from the location of retirement properties amongst existing shops, services and transport connections which focuses the spending power of the 'grey pound' to revitalise local high streets.

- For just one retirement development of roughly 45 units, a local authority could expect to see benefits of 85 construction jobs for the duration of the build, as well as six permanent jobs and £13m in GVA over the lifetime of the development, as opposed to not developing a site.¹
- These benefits mean that retirement properties create more local economic value and more local jobs than any other type of residential development.
- People living in each retirement development generate £550,000 of spending per year, £347,000 of which is spent on the local high street. Some £225,000 of this is new spending in the local authority, directly contributing to keeping local shops open.
- From these figures, we estimate that a typical retirement housing development has the potential to support more than three local retail jobs. Over the lifetime of the development, a typical development would contribute £2.25m of GVA to the high street.




Our findings come as UK-wide polling for this paper shows that retirement housing is among the most popular type of housing. We can see that 68% of people would approve of such housing being built near them, compared to the 45% approval rating given to a conventional block of flats. Meanwhile the generation of local jobs is the most important factor in people’s support for new developments.

Despite this public sentiment and the clear benefits that retirement developments bring to local communities, we are still not building enough suitable properties to cater for our ageing population. Currently in the UK, we build around 8,000 retirement properties a year. This is distinctly below the level of demand and need.

Looking at the national picture, our analysis shows what would happen were the UK to build 30,000 retirement properties every year, consistent with the level of supply that is needed.² Building 30,000 retirement properties a year would be just 10% of the national house building target and the estimated level of demand, and, compared to not developing the sites involved, would mean:


- £2bn of additional economic activity every year would be created across the country. This is £20bn over 10 years, roughly equivalent to 1% of current UK GDP over 10 years, focussed where it is most needed, on our high streets.
- 15,000 additional construction jobs would be created nationally and sustained over the period of construction. A further 700 jobs a year would come from management and renovation of properties freed up.
- Greenfield land across the UK could be preserved as the vast majority of these developments are on brownfield sites, effectively and sustainably regenerating previously developed land.



A single retirement development of 45 units, would add £13m in additional local economic value (GVA) to its area. A 10-year building programme of 30,000 retirement properties per year could be worth roughly the equivalent of an additional 1% of GDP to the economy.

In this report, we therefore provide the evidence that creating the right policy conditions to encourage the construction of more retirement properties is not only in the interests of those people in later life. It will also have a major impact on the wider housing market while stimulating local economic growth in every community across the country.

With all of this in mind, **our key national policy recommendation is for a 10 year programme of building 30,000 retirement properties every year.** If such a programme was introduced then we could have much-needed new housing for older people supplementing new housing for families and young professionals to ensure that we finally have the diverse housing stock we need in the UK.



Introduction

People and businesses across the UK have faced unprecedented personal and economic disruption since the Covid-19 pandemic took hold in March 2020. For local communities, the public health and economic effects have created a perfect financial storm.

Of course, local council finances being squeezed is not a new development. Back in 2012, Barnet Council encapsulated the problem in the Barnet Graph of Doom - a PowerPoint slide showing that within 20 years, unless things were to change dramatically, the north London council would be unable to provide any services except adult social care and children’s services.

But since the outbreak of the pandemic, the situation has become even more critical. Councils have been increasing spending while incomes have been falling. There have been new responsibilities to help with the testing, tracing and control of Covid-19 while social distancing requirements and personal protective equipment (PPE) have meant increased expenditure on adult social care and other services. At the same time, a number of long-established routes of income, such as through car parking, have been significantly reduced. On top of all of this, many households and businesses have found themselves struggling to pay their bills.

It is against this backdrop that local authorities throughout the UK will face three very pressing and difficult challenges in the coming years.

First, councils will need to salvage their financial position and that of the local economies on which they rely. This means generating income from a strong local economy populated with businesses, employees and customers all of whom can play their part in generating the revenue needed to pay town hall bills.

Second, councils will need to find ways of mitigating health and social care costs but at the same time keeping an ageing society healthier, happier and safer for as long as possible. Before the pandemic children’s and adult social care costs already accounted for a rising proportion of the annual budget. Covid-19 has only exacerbated this situation and with financial restrictions likely to be tighter than ever in 2021, local authorities must reconcile the escalating costs of social care with perilous finances

Third, councils will need to identify where and how they can meet the housing targets required of them without erasing the green belt, over-burdening the road infrastructure or burning up local support. For the vast majority of local authorities, brownfield sites can be the best way to achieve this. Such sites offer new ways of absorbing local targets without fundamentally changing the character of an area or redrawing its perceived boundaries. Furthermore it is urban brownfield sites where retirement housing is best placed to access existing public transport connections and can accommodate a new resident population willing and able to support struggling shops and services

In this report we show that all three of these crucial local authority challenges can be met more effectively through the delivery of much-needed retirement housing, alongside existing conventional housing developments.

With the economic benefits it brings, retirement housing can also be a key driver of the Government’s post-pandemic agenda in local authorities across the UK. Setting out his vision for an economic recovery, the Prime Minister promised to “build back better”. In his speech on the economy in June 2020, Boris Johnson lamented the failure of the state over many years to build enough new homes and asserted that “we will build fantastic new homes on brownfield sites”. Furthermore, the Government has recommitted to its target of delivering an average of 300,000 new build homes a year by the mid-2020s.

The role of brownfield in this has been emphasised in the change to the housing formula put forward in the Government's Planning for the Future White Paper. To this end, it is critical to get the most value possible out of developments on these sites.

Retirement housing is likely to be welcomed by the majority of residents. Our UK-wide polling found that it was among the three developments with the highest net approval ratings, alongside care homes and developments of family homes. The polling also found that local job creation is the number one most important aspect for a new development in the eyes of local people.

In the following chapters, we set out the unique social and economic contribution that retirement properties can play in boosting local economic output and creating local jobs, revitalising the high street and greening local communities. These are economic benefits that stem from factors unique in these developments including their specific building designs, central location and residents' spending habits. And they are benefits that are unlikely to come from other types of properties, as can be seen in the annex.

In our modelling, we have shown the "absolute benefits" of retirement properties, in other words those benefits compared to allowing brownfield sites to lay undeveloped. We have also compared those benefits to those yielded by other types of residential developments, namely housing and blocks of flats. In these tables, all the benefits are stated in full time equivalent jobs and in Gross Value Added for easy comparison. In the report itself, however, where it makes more intuitive sense, other metrics are used.

Through our modelling we can therefore see how building specialist retirement properties makes sense for all types of local authorities who need to accommodate new housing and want to see brownfield sites prioritised to achieve this in a way that delivers maximum economic benefit locally and benefits the local community most effectively.

This report is the third in a series of reports looking at the socio-economic benefits of retirement housing, with previous reports having shown how helping more people to access specialist retirement housing would improve older peoples' wellbeing, save money for the NHS and stimulate both ends of the housing market.

Our first report revealed how moving from existing mainstream housing to specialist retirement properties can improve the mental and physical health of our ageing population, with an average Homes for Later Living resident aged 80 feeling as good as someone 10 years younger, when measured on a selection of national wellbeing criteria. The resulting reduced health challenges could bring about significant savings for the NHS and social care budgets. Most notably, we found that each Homes for Later Living resident contributes to fiscal savings to the NHS and social care services of approximately £3,500 per year.

Our second report looked at how building more Homes for Later Living developments would help to unblock the housing market. It revealed that a quarter of people over 65 want to downsize and it showed how helping to make this happen would be beneficial to younger generations. For example, in this report we also showed that, through the chain effect, roughly two in every three retirement properties built releases a home suitable for a first-time buyer further down the chain.

In the third and final report of this series, we build on our previous findings to show that Homes for Later Living developments can be key to building back better in local communities. On top of the benefits outlined in previous reports, we can see that building more specialist retirement properties would do more than any other type of residential development to drive up the numbers of local jobs, boost local economic activity, and bring vibrancy to our local high streets.

Previous research

This report is the last in a series of three pieces of research, each highlighting different benefits brought about by Homes for Later Living developments.

Our first report, Happier and Healthier, explored how specialist retirement housing can improve residents' wellbeing and generate savings for Government. It showed that:

- On a selection of national well-being criteria such as happiness and life satisfaction, an average person aged 80 feels as good as someone 10 years younger after moving from mainstream housing to housing specially designed for later living.
- Each person living in a Homes for Later Living property enjoys fewer health risks, contributing to fiscal savings to the NHS and social care services of approximately £3,500 per year.

Our second report, Chain Reaction, demonstrated how helping more people who wish to downsize would be beneficial to the wider housing market, freeing up thousands of family-sized homes as well as those for first-time buyers. It showed that:

- Approximately 3 million people in the UK over the age of 65 (or 25%) want to downsize.
- Every Homes for Later Living property sold is estimated to generate at least two moves further down the housing chain, freeing up homes at differing stages of the housing ladder for different demographics.
- Roughly two in every three retirement properties built releases a home suitable for a first-time buyer down the housing chain. A typical Homes for Later Living development therefore results in at least 27 first time buyer properties being released onto the market.

Sarum Lodge, Salisbury



Public attitudes towards retirement housing

Homes for Later Living developments may bring numerous economic benefits to local areas but how welcome are they in the eyes of local residents?

Our UK-wide polling indicates that the vast majority of people would approve of retirement housing being built near them. Indeed, when people were asked whether they would approve or disapprove of certain developments being built within a mile of their home, retirement housing was among the three developments with the highest net approval ratings. The other two highest scorers were care homes and developments of family homes.

Across the UK, retirement housing scored a net 68% approval rating - rising to 70% in London and south west. This was slightly higher than the rating given to a development of first time buyer homes (67%) and significantly higher than the rating given to a conventional block of flats (45%) and a development of student accommodation (42%).

The approval rating given to retirement housing was also significantly higher than was given to commercial developments of all kinds. For example, a commercial development (retail) got a 47% net approval score and a commercial development (offices) scored 41%.

More generally, our polling established that more people than not want to see some kind of new housing built near where they live. A third of people think it is important that homes are built in their local area, compared to a quarter who do not.

Finally, our polling asked people what, if anything, they thought was particularly important in any new building development in their local area. The highest scoring answer here was "creating jobs" with 50% of respondents opting for this. Also scoring highly on 42% was "leads to more money being spent in local shops and businesses".

Savanta ComRes polled 2178 adults across the UK from 27-29 November 2020.

Summary of benefits

The figures presented in this report are net additional figures, which show the benefits of retirement developments versus a counterfactual. The below table highlights the benefits of Homes for Later Living developments versus letting a site remain undeveloped. This is in line with best economic practice. N.B. these figures are not the same as direct employment figures. Averages for direct employment can be found in the annex.

	45 apartments – i.e. the benefits of a typical Homes for Later Living development	30,000 apartments – i.e. one year of national building, 10% of the new homes target and the current level of demand	300,000 apartments – i.e. 10 years of national building
Construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 85 construction jobs for the duration of the build • £7.6m in gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 14,820 construction jobs for the duration of the build • £1.4bn in gross value added nationally 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 14,820 jobs sustained for 10 years • £14bn in gross value added nationally
Repairs and Renovations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 permanent jobs • £1.6m in gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 174 permanent jobs • £285m in gross value added nationally 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1,740 permanent jobs • £2.85bn in gross value added nationally
Management and Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.3 permanent jobs • £1.6m in gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 523 permanent jobs • £366m in gross value added nationally 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5,230 permanent jobs • £3.66bn in gross value added nationally
High Street Spend ³	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.2 permanent jobs on the high street • £2.25m of gross value added to the high street 	Displacement would mean benefits would net off nationally.	Displacement would mean benefits would net off nationally.
Total	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 85 construction jobs for the duration of the build • 6.4 permanent jobs • £13m in gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 14,820 construction jobs for the duration of the build • 700 permanent jobs • Nearly £2bn in gross value added nationally 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 14,820 construction jobs sustained for 10 years • 7,000 permanent jobs • Nearly £20bn in gross value added nationally, equivalent to 1% of GDP



Boosting local economies through jobs and growth

- A typical retirement development of 45 units could generate over £13m of additional economic activity in a local area, compared to letting a brownfield site lay undeveloped.
- Building 30,000 retirement properties could generate £2bn of economic activity across the country every year, compared to leaving sites undeveloped.
- A typical retirement housing development could also generate 85 additional construction jobs.
- There would also be permanent jobs created in management and maintenance of retirement developments as well as the renovation works and investment that follow moves further down the housing chain.

There has never been a more urgent time for stimulating local economic growth and revitalising our communities. Construction is central to this mission and the Prime Minister has called for an “infrastructure revolution” to “repair and refurbish the fabric of our country”.

The Planning White Paper is intended to help deliver this revolution through modernisation of the planning system and will help to drive up the number of new homes being delivered. The great hope is that a burst of construction will generate the kind of economic growth that this country needs to get back on track from the pandemic. The changes made to the planning algorithm have further evidenced the need to make the most of our brownfield sites.

For local authorities who need to accommodate challenging housebuilding targets but are seeking to protect greenfield sites there is a strong case for factoring specialist retirement properties into these plans.

We already know that this type of housing is largely focused on brownfield land. In fact, for one operator of retirement housing 97% of sites are on brownfield land. We know that it meets a critical need to enable older people to stay in their own homes for longer, thereby reducing health risks and generating significant health and social care savings. And we know that it stimulates both ends of the local housing market, ultimately releasing more for first time buyers.

Now we can also see how retirement housing can boost local economic growth and create jobs. These benefits stem from construction activity, repairs, renovations and onsite management and care jobs including property managers, gardeners, window cleaners among others.

That said, there is no suggestion that specialist retirement housing should come at the expense of new conventional housing in any area. Rather, specialist retirement housing should be built in addition to conventional housing to ensure that we have the diverse housing stock we need, offering choice to potential purchasers and tenants. This will mean that the many benefits brought by specialist retirement housing do not come at the expense of existing housing aimed at families and young people.

The importance of building

Construction of any kind is critically important to economic growth. A site laying undeveloped carries a major opportunity cost. In order to grasp the full extent of this cost, we have modelled out the economic comparison of building Homes for Later Living developments on brownfield sites versus allowing those sites to lay undeveloped.

Our estimates show how construction activity and other factors combine to bring substantial economic benefits. We found that building 30,000 retirement properties a year would add £2bn a year to the UK economy through additional economic activity, partly owing to the specific construction requirements of our properties. It would add 15,000 total construction jobs for the duration of the construction, as well as 700 permanent jobs in the management, renovation, and care work associated with retirement properties and the downstream renovation of properties in the existing housing stock.

Research undertaken on behalf of the CBI as well as research done by FTI Consulting, cited by Shelter, shows that for every pound of capital spent in construction, between £2.09 and £2.80 was returned to the local economy.⁴

If the Government undertook a 10 year programme of building 30,000 retirement properties each year, we could therefore expect to see nearly £20bn added to the UK economy. On top of this would be 15,000 construction jobs sustained over 10 years and 7,000 jobs in the management, renovation and care jobs associated with retirement properties. These include managers, carers, gardeners and others who help to ensure that Homes for Later Living developments are vibrant and happy communities.

Over the lifetime of the 300,000 developments, this would be equivalent to adding nearly 1% to UK GDP, just by building one type of housing at the level of demand that exists for that kind of property.

The benefit of Homes for Later Living versus other developments

Having established the economic benefits of Homes for Later Living developments, the question is: how do these economic benefits compare to those that other residential units can provide to our local communities?

The answer is that Homes for Later Living developments compare favourably to both conventional houses and apartments, with additional economic benefits and additional jobs created, which are derived from multiple factors.

Firstly, the majority of retirement living developments are on brownfield sites near town centres and local transport links, this being a key driver of reduced car use and increased local spend.

Secondly, retirement properties employ additional staff, in management, care and service roles which have been included in the model, as well as bringing in local residents for part-time work like hairdressing for the residents, which has not. The manager is a net additional job compared to conventional houses, as will be the services of a gardener, cleaner and maintenance staff. In some cases, and in all Extra Care developments, there will also be a team of newly appointed on-site carers, as well as caterers and hospitality staff. These benefits are enumerated in the annex.

Thirdly, building retirement properties will free up more second-hand homes than building conventional apartments or houses for open market sale. The effect of this is to increase the number of moves occurring in the existing housing stock. When this happens, the numbers of renovation and repairs are increased. Our previous report, Chain Reaction, showed that older households tend to 'stay put' in their family home beyond the age of 65, partly due to the lack of availability of attractive downsizing options. Of course, building high quality retirement properties is one way to ensure that those looking to downsize have more options to choose from.

The construction benefits themselves are also large and derive from the particular specifications and requirements of building retirement properties.

Our modelling shows how retirement properties free up considerably more local homes in the chain of transactions than conventional flats and houses for open market sale. These additional freed up homes will create additional renovation and repair activity. Some of this work will be DIY efforts, but otherwise the use of tradesmen such as decorators, bathroom and kitchen fitters, central heating or window installers will support jobs and generate economic benefits nationally and locally. There may also be additional economic benefits through the local purchase of materials.

DIY efforts, but otherwise the use of tradesmen such as decorators, bathroom and kitchen fitters, central heating or window installers will support jobs and generate economic benefits nationally and locally. There may also be additional economic benefits through the local purchase of materials.

Pegasus Jesmond Assembly - from school site to specialist retirement housing

One current example of a site development is the Pegasus Jesmond Assembly in Newcastle-Upon-Tyne. In July 2016 Central High School for Girls merged with Church High School to become Newcastle High School for Girls. The former school site became vacant in December of that year. A planning application to redevelop the site was submitted and approved by Newcastle City Council in 2018 for 63 much needed homes exclusively for the over 60s in Jesmond. The development is over five floors, with shared communal facilities including a shared landscape deck to the rear, communal lounge and social kitchen. Construction is due to be completed in early 2021, with owners and tenants moving in Spring 2021.

The development was designed by local award winning architects Faulkner Browns, with Tolent appointed as main contractor. Tolent is a local business established in 1983, that has since grown into one of the North East's largest construction companies. With 20 people employed directly by Tolent on site and a further 26 subcontracts. At any point in time, there are between 100-125 subcontractors on site. 95% of the contractors are local to the Newcastle City Region, employing local labour and providing apprenticeships on site to help train and develop skills for the next generation of construction workers.



Some of the jobs at Homes for Later Living developments

Alongside the construction jobs brought about by the development of specialist retirement properties, there are a host of management, renovation and care jobs associated with Homes for Later Living schemes. For example:

A **House Manager** oversees front of house management, facilities, event coordination and customer service in a typical Homes for Later Living property of 45 units. They help homeowners settle into their new homes and remain on hand to offer help, support and advice as necessary. The House Manager also provides a professional front of house service, welcoming visitors, and helps to maintain a safe, secure, well maintained and pleasant environment.

An **Estate Manager** is a pivotal point in an Extra Care retirement community, leading the care and support and duty management teams and working with colleagues across the wider business to deliver operational requirements. An Estate Manager will have full accountability for the successful day-to-day running of a development and its budget requirements. They will also coordinate and facilitate activities in communal lounges to improve social and physical opportunities for residents.

A **care and support assistant** plays a vital role in empowering our residents to live life to the full through domestic support and housekeeping and personal care, including bathing, dressing, toileting and help with mobility. They may also provide lifestyle services such as chaperoning homeowners to medical appointments, and/or other community related services, or simply offering social support.



A day in the life of an Estate Manager during the pandemic

Rhona Hill is Estate Manager at the Dairy in Tunbridge Wells, a community of people over 70 who live independently in their own apartments, whether privately owned or rented. She is responsible for the smooth day-to-day running of the community and is the first port of call for the retirees who have made the development their home.

When Covid-19 arrived in the UK last year and the country went into lockdown, life took an unexpected turn for Rhona, her colleagues and residents.

She says: "In my role as an Estates Manager, no two days are ever really the same, especially since the world was turned upside-down in the wake of the Covid-19 outbreak. Since March last year, my day-to-day role has been governed by the needs of the homeowners, whether it is helping them FaceTime loved ones, picking up essentials or just being a friendly face to chat to.

"The biggest challenge here at The Dairy has been fitting everything into one day, it's been a huge team effort and we are lucky enough that we haven't had any Covid-19 cases, a testament to everyone's hard work."

Rhona and the team have dedicated their time to helping homeowners by posting letters and fetching all of their groceries, and they continue to do everything that is needed to minimise the number of trips homeowners feel they need to make outside of the development to help keep them safe.

"We were lucky enough to be able to host a range of socially distanced outdoor activities over the summer months, with homeowners enjoying live performances from local musicians, sweet treats and coffee in the gardens as well as themed quizzes delivered through their doors," she says.

Speaking of her enjoyment for her role, Rhona says it is especially rewarding to be able to take the hard work away from the homeowners and support them to lead their independent lives. "Usually within six months of moving-in to The Dairy, the homeowners blossom into what I can only describe as what they would have been like ten years prior - relaxed, happy and full of life. It's a joy to witness," she says.

Rhona adds: "The support that both the team and the homeowners have received from McCarthy Stone has been second to none. McCarthy Stone have sent magazines to the development for the homeowners to enjoy, hosted virtual talks, a chess club and created quiz and puzzle sheets to keep everyone entertained. As employers, they have been phenomenal. The team and I have been constantly informed and kept up-to-date and they have ensured that all of the staff feel appreciated and supported through this difficult time."



Reviving the high street

- **Homes for Later Living Developments are typically located on or close to high streets, ensuring the residents have easy access to local shops and services.**
- **On average, 63% of residents' annual expenditure is in local shops. This is much higher than the average local spend by 80+ year olds in the general population.**
- **The residents of a typical 45-unit retirement development generate £550,000 of spending per year, £347,000 of which goes to local shops, supporting retail jobs and keeping shops open.**
- **Assuming a shop has an owner and two full time equivalent employees, one Homes for Later Living development would support one shop on the high street.**

Retirement properties can have a further positive impact on the local economy through the purchasing power of Homes for Later Living residents, also known as the 'grey pound' effect. This spending by older people can help maintain outlets from the newsagents and the butchers to the flower shop and the local cafe - in other words the shops and community spaces which make up the heart of local communities.

In this section of the report we look specifically at the high street benefits. Other reports have included other local spending benefits like those on local contractors like window cleaners and gardeners. We include those benefits as part of our management and care figures.

We know that there is an increased likelihood of older residents spending their money locally, as opposed to nationally or online. And this is especially the case with residents of Homes for Later Living developments which tend to be brownfield sites in urban locations close to town centres or high streets where there are clusters of local shops and services, which will most likely also be already familiar to older residents but will benefit from the new-found immediate proximity.

Previous research found that people in a McCarthy Stone development regularly use shops and local facilities. The study for Henley Business School found that 80% of the residents used the shops almost daily or often; over 40% used the library or post office almost daily or often. In the schemes, owners were asked about the extent to which they used local services and facilities in their current home. More than three-quarters (78%) said that they used local shops at least once a week; and around 90% used local shops and/or supermarkets more than once a month. Other local services were also used regularly by residents, with around a quarter using services such as local taxis, hairdressers, pubs, cafes and restaurants more than once a week.⁵

In order to get an idea of how much residents of specialist retirement properties spend on retail items compared to residents of other housing developments, we started by looking at ONS figures for average annual spending by households by household age band. These show that people aged 75 and over spend an annual average of £13,354 – whilst this is not surprisingly a little less than other age brackets how it is spent is of greater significance. Our polling evidence suggests that over 80 year olds in the general population spend 45% of their money in local shops - similar to 35-64 year olds. But we should not assume the residents of retirement properties fall into line with all older people when it comes to spending habits. Indeed, our survey of residents tells a very different story.

Through our polling, we found that Homes for Later Living residents actually spend on average 63% of their money in local shops. This is much higher than the average local spend by 80+ year olds in the general population. This can be explained by residents' close proximity to local shops, meaning that car journeys out of town often become unnecessary.

Using 'propensities to spend locally' we can apportion spending figures to households in alternative housing developments to get the aggregate spending in local shops and cafes. Were there to be other homes in the place of a Homes for Later Living development, it is difficult to ascertain whether this 'proximity' effect would have quite the same influence on the local spending propensity of younger households that would be living there. Therefore we have assumed two possibilities. The first is that younger household local spending propensities remain the same as the general population of the same age. The second is that they rise proportionately to the increase seen for Homes for Later Living residents.

Through our modelling, we can see that building retirement properties can be hugely beneficial to local high streets when co-located amongst shops, services and transport connections. The residents of a typical retirement development generate over £553,250 of spending per year. By our residents' survey, and based on where the residents were spending before moving into retirement properties, our modelling shows that just under 63% - or £347,441 - of this will be spent on the local high street every year. This amounts to £224,176 that previously was not spent on the local high street.

Our modelling estimates that for every one retirement housing development created in a local authority it has the potential to support more than 3 local retail jobs. Assuming that a small shop has 3 full time equivalent workers (possibly the owner and two employees) this is equivalent to each retirement property keeping one shop open on the high street. Over the lifespan of each development this is more than £2m of gross value added to each local high street versus building nothing. It is an additional £600,000 over using the same land to build conventional houses.

Finally, it is worth highlighting that unlike the construction impacts considered previously, these are 'ongoing effects' occurring through regular spending in shops in cafes each week over a 60-year period, which is how this report measures the lifespan of a development.

Greening communities

- **With c. 97% of developments on brownfield sites, building Homes for Later Living properties helps to safeguard green field land.**
- **Many retirement developments are on the cutting edge of energy-efficiency and green generation.**
- **Younger families moving into vacated properties likely induce energy efficient renovations down the chain creating better energy efficiency across the market.**
- **We estimate that building one retirement development of 45 units takes up to 15 cars off the roads.**

Homes for Later Living properties do not just bring economic benefits to communities. Both the developments and the residents that live in them can bring a number of environmental benefits.

We have already noted that the vast majority of sites used for Homes for Later Living are previously developed brownfield land. Whether that is a former garage, an old police station or surplus municipal building, this means that retirement developments often contribute to saving green fields and reducing green belt encroachment across the UK.

Land near retirement properties can also benefit as developers take steps to create habitats that will benefit local wildlife and enhance an area's appearance for local people to enjoy. This is the case in Thame, Oxfordshire, where Churchill Retirement Living has teamed up with a local environmental group on plans to create a new bio-diverse area of land opposite a new development. Prompted by support for principles embraced in the 'Thame Green Living plan', there are plans to sensitively landscape and plant the area with a variety of native trees, shrubs and flowers.

Inside Homes for Later Living developments, apartments typically include electric hobs and electric heating, pre-empting the Government's forthcoming move away from gas boilers. Many properties, including 50% of McCarthy Stone developments, now have smart meters installed, encouraging more efficient energy consumption. This both reduces carbon in the environment and also saves money for our residents.

Homes for Later Living EPC ratings are largely in line with the new build average (EPC band B), making them more energy-efficient than the UK-wide average (EPC band D). We also know that long time residents are less likely to upgrade their homes, and so residents moving into Homes for Later Living are likely to induce higher energy efficiency in properties down the chain.

As the Government aims to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2050, all Homes for Later Living developers are committed to playing their part by exploring new, efficient and sustainable systems. For example, Churchill Retirement Living properties are pioneering use of air and ground source renewable heat pump technology, which reduces energy bills and cuts CO₂ emissions by as much as 75%.

Alongside measures to promote low energy usage, a number of developments have car club partnerships with rental firms to reduce car usage. Some developments now have electric car charging points and this is something we fully expect to see more with sales of new petrol and diesel cars to end in the UK by 2030.

Already, Homes for Later Living residents are helping to reduce levels of pollution and carbon output in the local community by driving less. Instead of getting in the car, the location of most developments means that shops and high streets can be reached on foot. For when desired destinations are not in easy walking distance, many schemes are also on bus routes.

Going by our resident polling, we can see that half of those still driving say that they drive less than they did before moving. Nearly half of those who don't drive have given up because of moving to a retirement property.

Broadly, Homes for Later Living residents are a third less likely to drive - 51% vs 77%. This reduced likelihood of driving can almost wholly be attributed to those saying that moving to a Homes for Later Living property meant that they chose to give up driving.

Looking at self-reported driving among our residents, our analysis suggests that building 45 Homes for Later Living properties could take up to 15 cars off the roads who would otherwise drive a collective roughly 1,800 miles a week.

It is critical to note that these benefits do not come at the expense of residents' independence. Rather, residents are likely more mobile because of the proximity of our properties to local high streets and shops. Our residents also show wellbeing scores consistent with people 10 years younger than them in the general population.

Car Clubs - helping residents to rent electric and hybrid vehicles

People living in selected McCarthy Stone developments have been taking to the road following the introduction of an innovative 'pay as you go' Car Club initiative.

In partnership with Enterprise, McCarthy Stone has provided residents with access to a dedicated all-electric vehicle, which is available to rent for anything from 30 minutes to a couple of days – meaning residents can make short journeys to the shops and enjoy days out or even weekends away.

The scheme is aimed at retirees who don't want to give-up driving for good but are looking for an alternative to the responsibility and hassle of having to maintain their own vehicle. But the use of electric and hybrid vehicles across McCarthy Stone's fleet of Enterprise cars does not just benefit residents who want to get behind the wheel.

With electric vehicles having 43 percent less carbon emissions compared to the average UK car, as the programme expands it is set to have environmental benefits for many local areas. Furthermore, Car Clubs have been shown to lead to a reduction in car ownership among members, as well as a reduction in car usage in the 12 months after becoming a member.

Ground source renewable heat pump technology

At Churchill Retirement Living's Lewis Carroll Lodge in Cheltenham, residents have been making the most of the developer's pioneering use of ground source renewable heat pump technology.

Each apartment and all the communal areas at the Lodge have heating and hot water provided via the communal ground source heat pump. Designed and installed by sustainable energy company 'The Renewable Design Company', the pump system extracts heat directly from the earth to provide heating and hot water to residents.

The heat extracted from the earth is four times greater than the energy used by the process making it the most efficient heating solution for both cost and carbon emissions. At Lewis Carroll Lodge, the ground source heat pumps produce 33 tonnes of CO₂ per year to heat residents' apartments and to supply hot water compared to 134 tonnes of CO₂ per year generated by an electric heating system - a 75% reduction in CO₂ emissions.

On a recent visit, Cheltenham MP Alex Chalk (pictured) praised what he had seen as a "great example of sustainable, low carbon, development".

John and Doreen Pitt moved to Lewis Carroll Lodge last year and have been enjoying the benefits of a warm and cosy environment since then. "We think it's absolutely marvellous," says John. "The whole building is so nice and warm all the time that we've hardly had to use the heating inside our apartment.

"When we do turn it up a notch or two it's nice to know we don't have to worry about the cost or the environmental impact, as it's all so energy efficient and covered within our annual service."



Conclusion and recommendations

There is no doubt we need more housing of all types.

There is no doubt we need more housing for older people - we have an ageing population with increasing housing needs. It is estimated that 90% of the household growth until 2050 will be among our over 65 population. As a result, we have to build more suitable housing to cater for their specific needs.

Homes for Later Living has lacked priority in new housebuilding over many years. However this report shows that building more housing for older people will not only address the existing shortfall, it will do more than any other type of residential development to support local jobs, businesses and High Streets. It will also help improve the environmental footprint of a local area.

This is in addition to the clear socio-economic benefits identified in earlier reports which found that:

- On a selection of national well-being criteria such as happiness and life satisfaction, an average person aged 80 feels as good as someone 10 years younger after moving from mainstream housing to housing specially designed for later living.
- Each person living in a Homes for Later Living property enjoys a reduced risk of health challenges, contributing to fiscal savings to the NHS and social care services of approximately £3,500 per year.
- Roughly two in every three retirement properties built releases a home suitable for a first-time buyer. A typical Homes for Later Living development which consists of 40 apartments therefore results in at least 27 first time buyer properties being released onto the market.

Given that 1 in 4 over 65s want to downsize and in so doing will play their part in unlocking these benefits to society it only remains for Government, councils and house builders to play their part in making it possible. For this to happen we are calling for:

1. A commitment to building 10% of the Government's national house building target as retirement properties.

Having committed to building 300,000 new homes a year by the middle of this decade, ministers must now ensure that the right homes get built. With an ageing population, it no longer makes sense to focus almost exclusively on first-time buyers. We will need homes of different tenures to meet different demands.

We are therefore urging ministers to commit to building 30,000 retirement properties a year in England. This would be a tenth of the existing national target and would cater for the increased demand for quality homes among our ageing population. It would also be additional, and not in place of, existing supply. This is a target which is undeniably necessary – over the next decade 50% of the growth in the number of households will be amongst the over 80s.⁶ It is also a target which is realistic and acceptable to existing local communities.

2. All local plans have to include specific targets for approving Homes for Later Living.

Over 65 households will make up 90% of the household growth of the UK. We also know that 25% of this group want to downsize but many do not end up moving, causing a bottleneck at the top of the housing market.

All local authorities should be responding to the growing need for more suitable housing for older people in their local plans. To ensure that this is the case, the Government should require that local plans include an objectively assessed look at the need for types of housing by demographic, and that local authorities commit to building for this level of need.

Of course, no government can afford to ignore the many problems faced by the younger generation when it comes to housing. But as the UK gets older, action is urgently needed to ensure that all older people can live in suitable accommodation where they will experience healthy and happy retirements. Looking at the latest ONS household projections, we expect that the 3.9 million homes owned by those aged 65 and over today will grow to at least five million by 2030.

In this report, we have mapped a route for achieving both of these outcomes simultaneously. In doing so, we have demonstrated that helping more people to move into homes for later living would be a win-win for those politicians who are genuinely interested in bridging the growing generational divide.



Annex

The additional benefits of building specialist retirement properties versus building a conventional housing development on the same site:

Conventional housing developments are developments of family sized homes. There would be approximately 13 family sized homes on the same size development as 45 retirement properties.

	45 apartments – i.e. the benefits of 1 Homes for Later Living Development	30,000 apartments – i.e. one year of national building, 10% of the new homes target and the current level of demand	300,000 apartments – i.e. 10 years of national building
Construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 46 jobs for the duration of the build • £4.2m of gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8163 jobs for the duration of the build • £737m in additional economic activity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8163 jobs sustained for 10 years • £7.4bn in additional economic activity
Repairs and Renovations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 permanent job • £1.6m of gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 174 permanent jobs • £285m in additional economic activity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1740 permanent jobs • £2.9bn in additional economic activity
Management and Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.3 permanent jobs • 1.6m of gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 523 permanent jobs • £366m of gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5230 permanent jobs • £3.7bn of gross value added in the local area
High Street Spend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.3 permanent jobs on the high street • £1.6m of additional spend on the high street 	Displacement would mean benefits would net off nationally.	Displacement would mean benefits would net off nationally.
Total	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 46 construction jobs for the duration of the build • 5.4 permanent jobs • £9m of gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8163 construction jobs for the duration of the build • 700 permanent jobs • £1.4bn in additional economic activity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8163 construction jobs sustained for 10 years • 7000 permanent jobs • £14bn in additional economic activity

The additional benefits of building retirement properties versus building a conventional block of flats on the same site:

Conventional apartments are suitable for first time buyers and there would be 31 first time buyer flats on the same size site as a retirement development.

	45 apartments – i.e. the benefits of 1 typical Homes for Later Living development	30,000 apartments – i.e. one year of national building, 10% of the new homes target and the current level of demand	300,000 apartments – i.e. 10 years of national building
Construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30 jobs for the duration of the build • £2.7m of gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5231 jobs for the duration of the build • £476m of additional gross value added nationally 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5231 jobs sustained for 10 years • £4.8bn of additional gross value added nationally
Repairs and Renovations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 permanent job • £1.6m of gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 166 permanent jobs • £277.4 of additional gross value added nationally 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1,660 permanent jobs • £2.8bn of additional gross value added nationally
Management and Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.25 permanent jobs • 1.6m of gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 523 permanent jobs • £366m of gross value added nationally 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5230 permanent jobs • £3.6bn of additional gross value added nationally
High Street Spend	Negligible	Displacement would mean benefits would net off nationally	Displacement would mean benefits would net off nationally
Total	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30 construction jobs sustained over the duration of the build • 3 permanent jobs • £5.8m of additional gross value added in the local area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5231 construction jobs for the duration of the build • 689 permanent jobs • £1.1bn of additional gross value added nationally 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5231 construction jobs sustained for 10 years • 6890 permanent jobs • £11.2bn of gross value added nationally

Retirement Living and Extra Care developments:

Some developments are Retirement Living only properties and some our Extra Care properties which have additional care associated with them. The models for the benefits of a single one of these developments are below as well as the comparison, where appropriate, to the general model. An Extra Care development directly employs c. 17 people. A single Retirement Living development directly employs c. 1 person. The below numbers are net additional jobs in the local community resulting from the development of either a standard Retirement Living development and an Extra Care development versus a series of counterfactuals.

Construction activity local GVA & jobs, per 45 RL or RL+ homes developed

	Additional local GVA			Additional local jobs			Duration of jobs
	v std flats	v std houses	v fallow	v std flats	v std houses	v fallow	
RL	+£2.4m	+£3.87m	+£7.29m	+27	+43	+81	1 year
RL+	+£3.9m	+£5.4m	+£8.8m	+43	+60	+98	
RL:RL+ 80:20 (General model)	+£2.7m	+£4.2m	+£7.6m	+39	+46	+85	

Renovation & repair activity GVA & jobs, per 45 RL or RL+ homes developed

	Additional local GVA			Additional local jobs			Duration of jobs
	v std flats	v std houses	v fallow	v std flats	v std houses	v fallow	
All	+£1.6m	+£1.6m	+£1.6m	+1	+1	+1	Permanent

Retail spending activity local GVA & jobs, per 45 RL or RL+ homes developed

	Additional local GVA			Additional local jobs			Duration of jobs
	v std flats	v std houses	v fallow	v std flats	v std houses	v fallow	
All	0	+£1.6m	+£2.25m	0	+2.3	+3.2	Permanent

Management / social care activity local GVA & jobs, per 100 RL or RL+ homes developed

	Additional local GVA			Additional local jobs			Duration of jobs
	v std flats	v std houses	v fallow	v std flats	v std houses	v fallow	
RL	+£1m	+£1m	+£1m	+1	+1	+1	Permanent
RL+	+£3.7m	+£3.7m	+£3.7m	+8	+8	+8	
RL:RL+ 80:20 (General model)	+£1.6m	+£1.6m	+£1.6m	+2.3	+2.3	+2.3	

Endnotes

- 1 This is for an 80:20 Retirement Living / Extra Care development. Extra Care developments (also known as Retirement Living Plus developments) typically "net add" around 12 permanent jobs to the local community. Extra care developments employ c 17 people directly. The lifetime of a development is cited as 60 years in line with best practice.
- 2 Knight Frank, 'Retirement housing 2016' https://www.housinglin.org.uk/_assets/Resources/Housing/OtherOrganisation/KF_Retirement_Housing_2016.pdf
- 3 Some spending benefits could fit across multiple buckets. For example, a study by the Institute of Public Care in 2014 for McCarthy Stone includes different benefits in its retail spend that we have included in our Management and Care numbers which makes up the vast majority of the difference between these two figures
- 4 CBI (2012). Bridging the gap: backing the construction industry to generate jobs; and Shelter (2010) Research: briefing: Housing Investment: Part 1. Available at: http://england.shelter.org.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0008/276668/Briefing_Housing_Investment_Part_1.pdf
- 5 Ball, M (2011), Housing markets and independence in old age: expanding the opportunities
- 6 ONS Household Projections (2016-based)



WPI Strategy

50 Broadway
London
SW1H 0RG.

@wpi_strategy

<https://wpi-strategy.com>

February 2021